UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

KIRTON AND MCCONKIE 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE, SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111

RECEIVED

DEC 29 2008

In re Application of : OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Martin Vido :

Application No. 10/517,795 : ON PETITION

Filed: December 13, 2004 :

Attorney Docket No. 14223.10 :

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed September 4, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he/she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he/she acts. However, if petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. Nevertheless, all future correspondence regarding this application file will be directed solely to the address of record until otherwise instructed.

It is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Nevertheless, in accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as constituting a certification of unintentional delay. However, in the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an inquiry to ascertain that, in fact, the delay was unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must so notify the Office.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action, mailed February 14, 2008, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on May 15, 2008.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b); (2) the petition fee of \$1540; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application is being revived solely for purposes of continuity. As continuity has been established by this decision, the application is again abandoned in favor of continuing application No. 12/191,398.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to undersigned at (571) 272-1642.

April M. Wise

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: EVAN R. WITT

60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1800 EAGKE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111